Disclosure On Wiretaps Is Ordered

Washington Post Staff Writer

U.S. District Court Judge Aubrey E. Robinson Jr. yesterday ruled that the federal government must disclose the nature and extent of wiretaps and electronic surveillance of several antiwar leaders and groups.

The government had contended that it was not compelled to disclose such information on national security

grounds.

Although such orders are not unusual in criminal cases, Judge Robinson's decision marked the first time the government has been ordered to disclose such wiretap informaon in connection with a civil shit, according to an attorney familiar with wiretap cases.

The order cannot be appealed, but the government could file a motion asking the judge to reconsider his order or asking that the information be placed under seal once it is given to the plaintiff, according to the attorney.

Leon Friedman, an American Civil Liberties Union attorney in New York, termed the order a "significant victory" on disclosure of wiretap information. Government at-torneys could not be reached for comment.

The ruling came in a suit filed 41/2 years ago by eight persons charged with attempting to disrupt the 1968 Democratic National Convention in Chicago and nine groups active in the antiwar movement.

See TAPS, A5, Col. 1

Wiretap Disclosure Is Ordered by Judge

TAPS, From A1

criminal charges against the eight were being litigated.

In his ruling filed yesterday, Judge Robinson resisted an attempt by the government to submit information concerning the taps for his private examination so he could determine the merits of the com-

That proposal was "highly irregular and is nowhere contemplated or authorized by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure," Robinson said in a two-page order.

He said the plaintiffs are en-titled to a "liberal discovery policy" under those rules unless there is a specific showing legal privilege that was not made here.

It was also inappropriate for the Justice Department to at- cases are suing under sections tempt to assert a national security defense and other legal 1968, which provides for payclaims on the merits at this ments to tapped persons of stage in the proceedings, he \$100 per day for the duration

The government had submitted a 43-page brief in defense groups in the suit ruled on of its refusal to answer interrogatories, which are written ped out of the complaint, leavquestions submitted by the

Those interrogatories which the government must Education now answer within 30 daysask for detailed information Resisters League, according to as to which plaintiffs were court papers. tapped, when they were tapped, how long the taps remained, who authorized the taps and the reasons such taps Hayden, Jerry Rubin, Abbie Hoffman, Bobby Seale, John Weiners and Lee Weiner.

government referred to an affidavit by then Attorney General John N. Mitchell that was filed during the 1969 Chicago criminal trial saying that some overheard on wiretaps. In the affidavit, Michtell said certain defendants:

.. Took part in conversations which were overheard by 1945 to 1963. government agents who were monitoring wiretaps which are being employed to gather foreign intelligence information party. concerning domestic organiza-tions which seek to use force and other unlawful means to attack and subvert the exist-workers asking \$27 million attack and subvert the exisiting structure of the govern-

was filed, it was described as a was filed last July 18.

against the Justice Depart-The suit was delayed while ment's then new doctrine that wiretapping of certain domestic groups without judicial approval could be justified on grounds of "national security."

According to one report at the time, the Chicago case was the first time the Justice Department had labeled domestic political groups with the 'national security" tag that had traditionally been used to justify counter-intelligence against foreign powers.

At least two other civil cases, filed by Pentagon Pa-pers defendant Daniel Ellsberg and former National Security Council aide Morton Halperin, alleging illegal government wiretaps, are pending in U.S. District Court here.

The plaintiffs in all the of the Omnibus Crime Act of of each illegal tap.

Five of the original nine yesterday subsequently droping as plaintiffs the Chicago Eight, the Black Panthers Party, Southern Conference Fund, Catholie Priests Fellowship and War

In support of its refusal, the Froines and Lee Weiner.

Socialists Tapped During 1945-1963

NEW YORK, Jan. 11 (AP)of the defendants had been The federal government has said in court papers that it conducted electronic surveillance of members of the So-cialist Workers Party from

> It also acknowledged that rom 1961 to 1969 the FBI had program to disrupt the

damages because of alleged violations of party members' At the time the civil suit constitutional rights. The suit